Improving satellite-derived irradiance estimates using sparse rooftop solar data and optimal interpolation

Travis M. Harty*, Antonio T. Lorenzo[†], Matthias Morzfeld[‡], William F. Holmgren⁺, Alexander D. Cronin⁺ *[‡]Department of Mathematics, [†]College of Optical Sciences, ⁺Department of Hydrology and Atmospheric Sciences, ⁺Department of Physics, University of Arizona

Summary

- Idea: Combine Satellite derived irradiance estimates with sparse ground irradiance measurements though optimal interpolation.
- Motivation: Accurate irradiance estimates are needed for resource assessment, realtime estimates of PV power generation, and forecasts of PV power generation.
- **Results:** Optimal interpolation reduces root mean square error (RMSE) by ~20% and mean bias error (MBE) by ~85% for cloudy images.

Essentials of optimal interpolation

Suppose you estimate that irradiance outside is ~900 W/m² and a sensor measures the irradiance to be ~850 W/m². How can you optimally combine these two pieces of information?

- Call your estimated value x_b and the measurement y.
- The error variances, σ_b^2 and σ_y^2 , measure how large of an error you expect from each estimate.
- Optimal interpolation (equivalent to least squares) is the best, linear, unbiased estimate of the true value:

$$x = x_b + w(y - x_b)$$

where
$$w = \sigma_b^2 (\sigma_u^2 + \sigma_b^2)^{-1}$$





Satellite and ground data

- Data are derived from two sources, geostationary satellite images and ground irradiance measurements from sensors and PV cells.
- Optimal interpolation enables sparse ground data to improve the irradiance estimate over a large area.





Optimal interpolation with satellite data 1200 We remove the effects of time of day, time of year, and unit of 1000 × 1.0 measurement by converting <u>0.9</u> sensor and PV data to a clear 800 ≥0.8 sky index. This is done by 600 dividing the measured irradiance 0.6 or power production by the 400 0.5 irradiance or power production 200 0.4 under a clear sky. 12:00 16:00 08:00 08:00 Time Time Visible Satellite Image Visible satellite images are 32.55°N 0.40 converted to a surface irradiance estimate using a radiative 32.4°N transfer model. The background, 0.32 32.25°N 0.28 \mathbf{x}_{b} , is this surface irradiance 32.1°N divided by the clear sky 0.24 expectation. Optimal interpolation 0.20 31.95°N combines measurements, y, with 0.16 this background to form the 111.4°W 111.2°W 111°W 110.8°W 110.6°W analysis, \mathbf{x}_a . Background Analysis 32.55°N 32.4°N 32.25°N 32.1°N 31.95°N 111.4°W 111.2°W 111°W 110.8°W 110.6°W 111.4°W 111.2°W 111°W 110.8°W 110.6°W $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{D}^{1/2} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{D}^{1/2}$ $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{H}^T)^{-1}$ $\mathbf{x}_a = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_b)$

- C is the satellite correlation matrix. Points in the background are more correlated if they have similar cloud cover. For example, if two points in Arizona have a similar pixel value in the visible satellite image the clouds over the two points will likely have similar properties.
- D is the background error variance. It describes how far from the truth one can expect the background irradiance estimate to stray.
- P and R are the satellite and sensor error covariance matrices. If we know the error at one location this will tell us what to expect at others.
- **R** is a diagonal matrix because we expect the error correlation between sensors to be negligible.
- H maps points in the satellite image to sensors on the ground.
- W is the weight matrix. The larger the weight the more we trust our ground observations.









Results

For the example shown in the center column, optimal interpolation reduces the error 54%. This is only for one image and others may have a lower or higher reduction. Errors are calculated using 5 test sensors which were not used for the interpolation process.







- For a calibrated GHI sensor in Tucson, RMSE is reduced by 23% and MBE is reduced by 55% for the 517 cloudy days in our data set.
- The distribution of values seen in the analysis more closely matched those observed.
- In the scatter plot, analysis points fall closer to the identity line. The analysis also predicts values between 0.6 and 0.8 while the background does not.



Future work

- Improve process by optimizing over parameters within satellite correlation matrix P.
- Optimally combine correlation methods based on spatial distance and a cloudiness index.
- Combine 15 minute satellite images with a numerical cloud advection model and ground irradiance measurements using a Kalman filter in order to create forecasts.

References

- 1. Antonio T. Lorenzo, Matthias Morzfeld, William F. Holmgren and Alexander D. Cronin, Optimal Interpolation of Satellite Derived Irradiance and Ground Data, Photovoltaics Specialist Conference (2016): IEEE 43rd, Portland, OR.
- 2. Eugenia Kalnay, Atmospheric Modeling Data Assimilation and Predictability, Cambridge University Press (2003)
- 3. Chang Ki Kim, William F. Holmgren, Michael Stovern, and Eric A. Betterton, *Toward* Improved Solar Irradiance Forecasts: Derivation of Downwelling Surface Shortwave Radiation in Arizona from Satellite. Pure and Applied Geophysics 137 (2016): pp. 1-19.







